IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA,)			
	Plaintiff,) 8:12CR60)			
	vs.) DETENTION ORDER			
LO	NNIE STRONG,				
	Defendant.	}			
A.	Order For Detention After waiving a detention hearing pursuant Act on February 29, 2012, the Court order pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of the Bail Reformers the above-named defendant detained			
B.	 Statement Of Reasons For The Detention The Court orders the defendant's detention because it finds: X By a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. X By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person or the community. 				
C.	I) in violation of 21 U.S. twenty years imprisonmed (b) The offense is a crime of (c) The offense involves a n	and includes the following: te offense charged: to manufacture methamphetamine (Count C. § 846 carries a maximum sentence of ent. f violence.			
	may affect whet The defendant h X The defendant h The defendant is The defendant is The defendant it ties. Past conduct of X The defendant h Ourt proceeding (b) At the time of the current Probation Parole	of the defendant including: appears to have a mental condition which her the defendant will appear. as no family ties in the area. as no steady employment. as no substantial financial resources. s not a long time resident of the community. does not have any significant community the defendant: as a history relating to drug abuse. as a history relating to alcohol abuse. as a significant prior criminal record. has a prior record of failure to appear at			

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		(c)	Other Factors:
		(-)	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to
			deportation.
			The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
			The Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement (BICE) has placed a detainer with the U.S. Marshal.
			Other:
<u>X</u>	(4)	are as	ature and seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's release s follows: The nature of the charges in the Indictment and the dant's substance abuse and criminal history.
Χ	(5)	Rebut	ttable Presumptions
	In determining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied		ermining that the defendant should be detained, the Court also relied
		on the	following rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)
			the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted:
	X	(a)	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
		- , ,	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of any
			other person and the community because the Court finds that the
			crime involves:
			(1) A crime of violence; or
			(2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
			imprisonment or death; or
			X (3) A controlled substance violation which has a maximum
			penalty of 10 years or more; or (4) A felony after the defendant had been convicted of two
			or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3)
			above, and the defendant has a prior conviction for one
			of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which
			is less than five years old and which was committed
			while the defendant was on pretrial release.
	Χ	(b)	That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
		_	the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the
			community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to
			believe:
			X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled
			substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10
			years or more.
			(2) That the defendant has committed an offense under 18
			U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in
			relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of
			violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment
			if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

- Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

 1. The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal;
 - 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED: February 29, 2012. BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken

United States Magistrate Judge